| 1 | | |
|----|---|--------------------------------|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 5 | DISTRICT OF NEVADA | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | MARY PACHECO, | |
| 8 | Plaintiff, | Case No. 2:16-cv-01736-GMN-GWF |
| 9 | vs. | ORDER ORDER |
| 10 | VOLKSWAGON GROUP OF AMERICA, INC., a corporations; VOLKSWAGEN | |
| 11 | AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT, a business entity, form unknown, | |
| 12 | Defendants. | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | This matter is before the Court on Defendant's Motion to Stay Proceedings Pending a Ruling | |
| 15 | on Transfer to MDL (ECF No. 5), filed on July 28, 2016. To date, Plaintiff has not filed an | |
| 16 | opposition to this motion and the time for opposition has now expired. ¹ | |
| 17 | On August 10, 2016, the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation filed a | |
| 18 | Conditional Transfer Order (CTO -54) (ECF No. 8), wherein the instant case was conditionally | |
| 19 | transferred to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. Accordingly, | |
| 20 | IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant's Motion to Stay Proceedings Pending a Ruling | |
| 21 | on Transfer to MDL (ECF No. 5) is granted . | |
| 22 | DATED this 16th day of August, 2016. | |
| 23 | u co | |
| 24 | GEORGE FOLEY, JR. | |
| 25 | United States Magistrate Judge | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |

¹ Pursuant to Local Rule 7-2(e), the failure of an opposing party to file a response to a motion constitutes a consent to the granting of the motion.